
Federal Community Development and Affordable Housing Programs

Consolidated Annual Performance Evaluation Report 2022 Program Year in Review









Contents

CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes	3
CR-10 - Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted	11
CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)	12
CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b)	19
CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c)	21
CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)	24
CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)	25
CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230	27
CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c)	28
CR-50 - HOME 24 CFR 91.520(d)	29
CR-56 - HTF 91.520(h)	31
CR-58 – Section 3	32
CR-60 - ESG 91.520(g) (ESG Recipients only)	33
CR-65 - Persons Assisted	41
CR-70 – ESG 91.520(g) - Assistance Provided and Outcomes	44
CR-75 – Expenditures	45

CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes

Progress the jurisdiction has made in carrying out its strategic plan and its action plan. 91.520(a)

This could be an overview that includes major initiatives and highlights that were proposed and executed throughout the program year.

CDBG - See Unique Appendices.

Comparison of the proposed versus actual outcomes for each outcome measure submitted with the consolidated plan and explain, if applicable, why progress was not made toward meeting goals and objectives. 91.520(g)

Categories, priority levels, funding sources and amounts, outcomes/objectives, goal outcome indicators, units of measure, targets, actual outcomes/outputs, and percentage completed for each of the grantee's program year goals.

Goal	Category	Source /	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Expected -	Actual – Strategic	Percent Complete	Expected -	Actual – Program	Percent Complete
		Amount			Strategic Plan	Plan		Program Year	Year	
Economic	Non-Housing		Public Facility or							
Development-	Community	CDBG:	Infrastructure Activities	Persons	0	0		0		
Downtown	Development	\$	other than Low/Moderate	Assisted						
Revitalization			Income Housing Benefit							
Economic	Non-Housing									
Development-	Community	CDBG:	Other	Other	10	2		2	0	
Downtown	Development	\$	Other	Other		2	20.00%		O	0.00%
Revitalization	Development									
Economic	Non-Housing	CDBG:								
Development-Job	Community	\$	Jobs created/retained	Jobs	250	52	20.80%	50	0	0.00%
Creation	Development	٦					20.8076			0.0076
Economic	Non-Housing	CDBG:		Businesses						
Development-Job	Community	\$	Businesses assisted	Assisted	5	2	40.00%	1	0	0.00%
Creation	Development	۶		Assisted			40.00%			0.00%

Prepare, prevent, respond to COVID-19 infectious	CDBG-CV CARES	CDBG-CV-CARES: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	800	15871	1,983.88%	0	4601	
Prepare, prevent, respond to COVID-19 infectious	CDBG-CV CARES	CDBG-CV-CARES: \$	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	1000	75548	7,554.80%	0	57753	
Prepare, prevent, respond to COVID-19 infectious	CDBG-CV CARES	CDBG-CV-CARES: \$	Public service activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	250	0	0.00%			
Prepare, prevent, respond to COVID-19 infectious	CDBG-CV CARES	CDBG-CV-CARES: \$	Homeless Person Overnight Shelter	Persons Assisted	100	0	0.00%			
Prepare, prevent, respond to COVID-19 infectious	CDBG-CV CARES	CDBG-CV-CARES: \$	Overnight/Emergency Shelter/Transitional Housing Beds added	Beds	100	0	0.00%			
Prepare, prevent, respond to COVID-19 infectious	CDBG-CV CARES	CDBG-CV-CARES: \$	Housing for Homeless added	Household Housing Unit	100	0	0.00%			
Provide Decent Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$ / HTF: \$	Rental units constructed	Household Housing Unit	165	81	49.09%	24	1	4.17%
Provide Decent Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$ / HTF: \$	Rental units rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	10	2	20.00%			

Provide Decent Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$ / HTF: \$	Homeowner Housing Added	Household Housing Unit	65	28	43.08%	16	5	31.25%
Provide Decent Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$ / HTF: \$	Housing for Homeless added	Household Housing Unit	0	0				
Provide Suitable Living Environment	Homeless	ESG: \$ / Continuum of Care: \$ / ESG Match: \$ / ESG-CV: \$ / HOPWA Competitive Grant: \$	Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Rehousing	Households Assisted	1500	198	13.20%	251	0	0.00%

Provide Suitable Living Environment	Homeless	ESG: \$ / Continuum of Care: \$ / ESG Match: \$ / ESG- CV: \$ / HOPWA Competitive Grant: \$	Homeless Person Overnight Shelter	Persons Assisted	7500	1305	17.40%	1354	0	0.00%
Provide Suitable Living Environment	Homeless	ESG: \$ / Continuum of Care: \$ / ESG Match: \$ / ESG- CV: \$ / HOPWA Competitive Grant: \$	Overnight/ Emergency Shelter/ Transitional Housing Beds added	Beds	0	0		54	0	0.00%
Provide Suitable Living Environment	Homeless	ESG: \$ / Continuum of Care: \$ / ESG Match: \$ / ESG- CV: \$ / HOPWA Competitive Grant: \$	Homelessness Prevention	Persons Assisted	3300	556	16.85%	568	0	0.00%

Public Facilities/ Infrastructure -Compliance	Affordable Housing Homeless Non- Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$		Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderat e Income Housing Benefit	2	Persons Assisted	60000	6100	10.17%	12000	2443	20.36%
Public Facilities/Infra structure- Compliance	Affordable Housing Homeless Non- Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$		Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities for Low/Moderat e Income Housing Benefit	<u> </u>	Househ olds Assisted	60	0	0.00%	12	0	0.00%
Public Facilities/Infra structure - New Construction	Affordable Housing Non- Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Infras Activi than Low/N	Facility or tructure ties other Moderate ne Housing		sons isted	60000	79691	132.82%	12000	2970 4	247.53 %

Public Facilities/Infra structure-New Construction	Affordable Housing Non- Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	60	0	0.00%	12	0	0.00%
Public Facilities/Infra structure- Rehabilitation	Affordable Housing Homeless Non- Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	85000	29264	34.43%	17000	15944	93.79%
Public Facilities/Infrastr ucture- Rehabilitation	Affordable Housing Homeless Non-Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	120	0	0.00%	24	0	0.00%

	Affordable								
	Housing								
Public	Homeless								
Facilities/Infrastr	Non-Homeless	CDBG: \$	Rental units	Household	25	0			
ucture-	Special Needs	CDBG. \$	rehabilitated	Housing Unit	25	0	0.00%		
Rehabilitation	Non-Housing								
	Community								
	Development								

Table 1 - Accomplishments - Program Year & Strategic Plan to Date

Assess how the jurisdiction's use of funds, particularly CDBG, addresses the priorities and specific objectives identified in the plan, giving special attention to the highest priority activities identified.

ESG- ESG funds have prioritized Rapid Rehousing and Homelessness Prevention programs by allocating a higher percentage of ESG funds to projects including those component types. In 2022, there were 16 HPRR grants in the BoS. Of the funds spent on HPRR, 51% went toward homelessness prevention, and 49% went toward rapid rehousing. The Coordinated Entry system, Homeless Connect, has helped to ensure that homeless individuals and families are directed to resources that reduce the duration of homelessness and transition households to permanent, independent housing as quickly as possible. The need for emergency shelter services in Idaho is high; ESG shelter funding represents roughly 50% of BoS ESG funding in 2022 and helped keep families and individuals safe while the appropriate resources are found to effectively house them.

HOME & HTF- The Five-Year Consolidated Plan identifies rental housing for extremely low-income households (0-30% AMI) as the highest priority housing need for the state of Idaho. To help address this need, IHFA has designated Extremely low-income households as one of three "Special Housing Needs Populations" for application scoring purposes; the other special housing needs populations are Elderly (62+) and Disabled. This designation provides additional points to multifamily rental applications if one of these populations are or more units one these 3 populations as a tenant preference. In 2022, HOME and HTF funds were committed to 1 new multifamily rental project and 1 new single-family (less than 4 units) projects. In PY2022, 6 HOME-assisted units rental units were completed and rented to qualified low, very low, and extremely low income households in Idaho.

CDBG - See Unique Appendices.

CR-10 - Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted

Describe the families assisted (including the racial and ethnic status of families assisted). 91.520(a)

	CDBG	HOME	ESG	HTF
White	2,775	6	1,896	0
Black or African American	3	0	74	0
Asian	20	0	10	0
American Indian or American Native	5	0	120	0
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	2	0	15	0
Total	2,805	6	2,115	0
Hispanic	495	0	427	0
Not Hispanic	2,310	6	1,796	0

Table 2 – Table of assistance to racial and ethnic populations by source of funds

Narrative

ESG - See uploaded Sage report (Q12a and Q12b) for further breakdown by race/ethnicity.

CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)

Identify the resources made available

Source of Funds	Source	Resources Made	Amount Expended
		Available	During Program Year
CDBG	public - federal	15,650,514	6,139,636
HOME	public - federal	11,040,577	1,598,352
ESG	public - federal	1,103,073	618,568
HTF	public - federal	2,982,433	581,731
Continuum of Care	public - federal	3,558,269	2,504,784
Tax Credits	private	6,780,109	5,265,773
Tax Exempt Bond			
Proceeds	private	335,115,000	73,300,000
Other	private	17,711,524	
Other	public - federal	17,711,524	

Table 3 - Resources Made Available

Narrative

CDBG - See Unique appendices for PR 50 Expenditure Report.

HOME - PR-23 Summary of Accomplishments - Does not include costs for Administration

HTF - PR07

Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Target Area	Planned Percentage of Allocation	Actual Percentage of Allocation	Narrative Description

Table 4 – Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Narrative

ESG: IHFA has divided the state of Idaho into seven regions. As the sole ESG recipient for the state, IHFA is careful to balance funding among the seven regions when awarding ESG funds. All seven regions have similar poverty statistics, with the population at or below the poverty level ranging between 10 and 14%. Region 2 has a lower population than the other regions (6% of Idaho's total). Region 7 has the highest population, with 27% of Idaho's total; but this region also has the most resources, including housing resources that are not HUD-funded.

HOME and HTF- IHFA does not award HOME/HTF program funds based on geographic distribution model. IHFA awards funds to approved projects that have met IHFA and HUD criteria following a

published NOFA and competitive scoring process. The criteria includes the market area demonstrated need, demonstrated long-term viability & sustainability of the project, owner and developer experience and current standing with IHFA. For rental activities, IHFA reviews the owner, the developer, and the management company and their previous experience and current capacity. In addition, the project's application is reviewed for overall strength and long-term performance potential.

There may come a time when IHFA determines there is an area of Idaho with exceptional need for rental housing. In this case, the additional points for projects or types of projects in that area, or other incentives, such as points for projects located in rural areas, or permanent supportive housing units. If IHFA makes such a determination, the need will be published following the normal NOFA process.

CDBG - See Uniques Appendices for 2022 project maps.

Leveraging

Explain how federal funds leveraged additional resources (private, state and local funds), including a description of how matching requirements were satisfied, as well as how any publicly owned land or property located within the jurisdiction that were used to address the needs identified in the plan.

ESG Leveraging & Match - ESG funds were matched at least at 100% of the total FY awards for their respective amounts. In-kind donations of staff time and local leveraged resources contributed to the individual projects. However, since most ESG subrecipients only report inkind donations as contributing toward match, additional leveraged funding in the ESG program rarely applies throughout the state of Idaho and this was true for the 2022 CAPER. Under ESG, providers agree to match 100% of funds available under their grant agreements with funding from other sources. All match funds must be spent on ESG-eligible activities within the period outlined in the grant agreement. Only "Cash" and "In-Kind" are eligible types of match contributions. Match is tracked individually in provider budgets, but we only request the 100% match at the end of the grant period. Match reported in Sage is that which is identified in their grant applications. With In-Kind contributions we assess them as such: The value of any real property, equipment, goods, or services contributed to the subrecipient's ESG program, provided that if the recipient or subrecipient had to pay for them with grant funds, the costs would have been allowable. This may also include the purchase value of any donated building. Costs paid by program income shall count toward meeting the recipient's matching requirements, provided the costs are eligible ESG costs that supplement the recipient's ESG program. Under the ESG program there was not publicly owned land or property that were utilized to house individuals directly with ESG funds.

CDBG - See Unique appendices for 2022 Leveraging.

HOME & HTF funds are considered gap financing and used as one source of funding in a project. Applicants are required to utilize other funds in a project. Leverage includes Match. Sources of leverage include:

- Bank Loans
- Other Loans
- Other federal funds, loans or grants (USDA-RD, CDBG, SHOP)
- Contributions to units that are not HOME assisted or HOME-eligible

Fiscal Year Summary – HOME Match						
1. Excess match from prior Federal fiscal year	15,856,697					
2. Match contributed during current Federal fiscal year	1,722,826					
3. Total match available for current Federal fiscal year (Line 1 plus Line 2)	17,579,523					
4. Match liability for current Federal fiscal year	0					
5. Excess match carried over to next Federal fiscal year (Line 3 minus Line 4)	17,579,523					

Table 5 – Fiscal Year Summary - HOME Match Report

			Match Contrib	oution for the Fe	deral Fiscal Yea	r		
Project No. or Other ID	Date of Contribution	Cash (non-Federal sources)	Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges	Appraised Land/Real Property	Required Infrastructure	Site Preparation, Construction Materials, Donated labor	Bond Financing	Total Match
HOPE III	10/26/2021	0	84,748	0	0	0	0	84,748
Lincoln								
Courtyards	08/25/2022	0	0	0	1,000,000	0	0	1,000,000
Pomerelle								
Pointe 7268	12/21/2021	0	0	0	258,682	0	0	258,682
Single Family								
BMIR Various								
projects/dat								
es	10/01/2021	0	104,596	0	0	0	0	104,596
Sunset								
Landing	10/13/2021	0	0	0	0	0	274,800	274,800

Table 6 – Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year

HOME MBE/WBE report

Program Income – Enter the program amounts for the reporting period								
Balance on hand at begin-ning of reporting period \$	Amount received during reporting period \$	Total amount expended during reporting period \$	Amount expended for TBRA \$	Balance on hand at end of reporting period \$				
11,424,192	3,754,609	487,429	0	14,691,372				

Table 7 – Program Income

	Total	1	Minority Busin	ess Enterprises		White Non-	
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non- Hispanic	Hispanic	Hispanic	
Contracts		_					
Dollar							
Amount	427,771	0	0	0	0	427,771	
Number	3	0	0	0	0	3	
Sub-Contract	:S						
Number	26	0	0	0	2	24	
Dollar							
Amount	218,530	0	0	0	10,850	207,680	
	Total	Women Business Enterprises	Male				
Contracts							
Dollar							
Amount	427,771	0	427,771				
Number	3	0	3				
Sub-Contract	:s						
Number	26	1	25				
Dollar							

Table 8 - Minority Business and Women Business Enterprises

218,530

Amount

Minority Owners of Rental Property – Indicate the number of HOME assisted rental property owners and the total amount of HOME funds in these rental properties assisted

218,280

250

	Total		White Non-			
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non- Hispanic	Hispanic	Hispanic
Number	1	0	0	0	0	1
Dollar	260,6					
Amount	40	0	0	0	0	260,640

Table 9 – Minority Owners of Rental Property

Relocation and Real Property Acquisition – Indicate the number of persons displaced, the cost of relocation payments, the number of parcels acquired, and the cost of acquisition

Parcels Acquired	0	0
Businesses Displaced	0	0
Nonprofit Organizations		
Displaced	0	0
Households Temporarily		
Relocated, not Displaced	0	0

Households	Total		Minority Property Enterprises						
Displaced		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non- Hispanic	Hispanic	Hispanic			
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Cost	0	0	0	0	0	0			

Table 10 – Relocation and Real Property Acquisition

CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b)

Evaluation of the jurisdiction's progress in providing affordable housing, including the number and types of families served, the number of extremely low-income, low-income, moderate-income, and middle-income persons served.

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of Homeless households to be		
provided affordable housing units	0	0
Number of Non-Homeless households to be		
provided affordable housing units	40	6
Number of Special-Needs households to be		
provided affordable housing units	0	0
Total	40	6

Table 11 - Number of Households

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of households supported through		
Rental Assistance	0	0
Number of households supported through		
The Production of New Units	40	5
Number of households supported through		
Rehab of Existing Units	0	1
Number of households supported through		
Acquisition of Existing Units	0	0
Total	40	6

Table 12 - Number of Households Supported

Discuss the difference between goals and outcomes and problems encountered in meeting these goals.

The production of new units was impacted by supply chain interuptions and increased costs of goods and services. Acquisition of single-family units as rental or homebuyer units is no longer feasible in most areas of Idaho due to escalating home values. Multifamily rental acquisition and rehabilitation has slowed because preservation activities of existing USDA-RD and Section 8 units has currently slowed down.

Discuss how these outcomes will impact future annual action plans.

Affordable rental housing development activities will continue as market conditions allow and the projects are feasible in the long-term. Homebuyer activities will continue as the market conditions allow and the units remain affordable for low-income homebuyers with annual incomes at or below 80% AMI. In the current market, it is anticipated IHFA will target more of its allocation to rental housing activities.

Include the number of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income persons served by each activity where information on income by family size is required to determine the eligibility of the activity.

Number of Households Served	CDBG Actual	HOME Actual	HTF Actual
Extremely Low-income	0	1	0
Low-income	0	1	
Moderate-income	0	4	
Total	0	6	

Table 13 – Number of Households Served

Narrative Information

The table above provides a more detailed breakdown of household income levels for both the HOME and the HTF programs. It is noted the National Housing Trust Program is restricted to serving households who at initial occupancy, have an annual income of ≤30% AMI. The HOME Program is limited by regulation to serve housholds whith an annual income of ≤80% AMI at initial occupancy. See Unique Appendices for completed HTF and HOME units during PY2022 by region.

Worst Case Housing Needs

HUD defines U.S. households spending more than 30 percent of their income on housing as "Cost-burdened". A cost-burdened household can have difficulty affording other necessities such as food, clothing, transportation, and medical care. An estimated 12 million U.S. households now pay more than 50 percent of their annual incomes for housing. A family with one full-time worker earning the minimum wage cannot afford the local fair-market rent for two-bedroom apartment anywhere in the United States. [1] According to the U.S. Census Bureau, 49% of Idaho's renters are defined as Cost burdened[2]. The HOME and HTF funded projects require rent restrictions during the period of affordability which limit the amount of rent a low-income household is required to pay. HOME and HTF funds help increase the number of affordable rent restricted housing units in Idaho to help meet the needs of low-income cost-burdened renters.

CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c) Evaluate the jurisdiction's progress in meeting its specific objectives for reducing and ending

homelessness through:

Reaching out to homeless persons (especially unsheltered persons) and assessing their individual needs

The Idaho Balance of State (BOS) Continuum of Care (CoC) (ID-501) Board provides oversight for both CoC and ESG projects. There is always a currently or previously homeless individual serving on the CoC Board. The inclusion of individuals with firsthand experience of homelessness is essential to effective decision making in all CoC policies. The BOS CoC is comprised of 43 of Idaho's 44 counties. Our ESG program serves all of Idaho. As a result, the organizational structure successfully pools the knowledge and experience of all those participating, providing invaluable insight into the housing needs and services of the homeless individuals they serve. Additionally, each CoC and ESG subrecipient is required to have a homeless or formerly homeless member serving on that agency's Board. Subrecipients are also encouraged to provide forums or discussions for participants in their projects to provide feedback and direction regarding program policies and procedures.

Each shelter and homelessness provider implements their own marketing strategies to ensure that their services are known to those in need of the services offered, and are easily accessible. All program subrecipients and sponsors are encouraged to perform outreach within their communities to increase project awareness and access. Outreach may include attending local service provider meetings, partnering with local agencies to pair resources, attending homeless stand down events, locating offices in accessible and visible locations, ensuring community provider lists include current agency information, and locating and engaging with homeless individuals, among other efforts.

Addressing the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons

The need for emergency shelter services is high in Idaho, largely due to the high percentage of rural geography. For this reason, roughly fifty percent (50%) of ESG funds are committed to shelter activities annually. The distribution of these funds is determined based on the response of Idaho's homeless-serving agencies to the funding availability notice and the scoring of each project's application. This allows agencies to demonstrate their capacity to administer grant funds and experience in serving the homeless population. The BOS CoC also addresses the needs of homeless persons by recognizing the benefit and success of rapid re-housing programs. Although this is a form of permanent housing, it is time restricted and can be used as a more efficient alternative to transitional housing. Higher efficiencies result in more people being served, more effectively. The BOS CoC currently funds 22 CoC projects specifically targeting homeless individuals and/or families. Services are associated with these housing projects to ensure individuals and families receive the resources needed to obtain permanent housing and achieve self-sufficiency.

Helping low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely low-income individuals and families and those who are: likely to become homeless after being discharged from publicly funded institutions and systems of care (such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions); and, receiving assistance from public or private agencies that address housing, health, social services, employment, education, or youth needs

In the absence of appropriate and effective intervention efforts and resources, many families and individuals will become homeless as life changes such as loss of employment, lack of affordable housing, loss of support networks, exit from an institution or facility, and other circumstances present themselves. Through the use of ESG funding to support indivuduals from becoming homeless, we currently dedicate at least 51% of our HPRR funds to Homeless Prevention. The Idaho BoS CoC also directed a substantial amount of ESG-CV funds to coordinate efforts with agencies specifically targeting individuals to prevent homelessness by priortizing Homeless Prevention funding under the auspice of ESG-CV. The Idaho BOS CoC coordinates with the Idaho Department of Corrections, Idaho Department of Health and Welfare, Idaho Department of Labor, and the State Department of Education to identify areas of concern and support for persons in their systems who may become homeless. For those that experience these misfortunes, recovery can be extremely difficult when disability, controlled substance or alcohol dependency, or other barriers exist. Certain individuals may require more permanent support and services, traditionally associated with permanent housing. The BOS CoC has made permanent housing a priority while serving Idaho's homeless population. All of the BOS CoC housing projects currently operating offer permanent housing options to homeless families and individuals. IHFA does not have a specific "Discharge Coordination Policy", but we utilize the Mainstream Voucher program and coordinate with the local PHA to make mainstream vouchers available to those being directly discharged from institutions.

IHFA and the BOS CoC acknowledge and support the commitment to preventing and ending homelessness. Whether a participant receives housing and service support through transitional or permanent housing, each agency strives to provide individualized goal-based service planning to increase the likelihood for long-term success, including self-sufficiency; ensure access to a permanent housing plan; and establish support networks and habits that reduce the likelihood of recidivism. These efforts are especially crucial in transitional and rapid re-housing programs to help individuals attain self-sufficiency. The BOS CoC continues to emphasize rapid re-housing during its Continuum of Care Competitions. This emphasis is designed to shorten the length of time that individuals and families experience homelessness.

Helping homeless persons (especially chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth) make the transition to permanent housing and independent living, including shortening the period of time that individuals and families experience homelessness, facilitating access for homeless individuals and families to affordable housing units, and preventing individuals and families who were recently homeless from becoming homeless again

The Idaho Balance of State (BOS) CoC uses Homeless Connect, its Coordinated Entry system, to transition homeless persons into permanent housing and independent living, especially the identified target populations (chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth). People experiencing homelessness, especially these priority populations, receive higher scores during assessment, which places them higher on the Homeless Connect queue. As openings become available, those highest in the queue are referred to housing. Service providers then work to rapidly transition households into housing, and work to keep them there.

Of the ESG funding *not* associated with shelter activities, almost 50% is for homelessness prevention and rapid re-housing efforts. These funds can be leveraged with services to assist families or individuals in evaluating their primary causes for being at risk of or becoming homeless. Doing so increases the probability that those receiving assistance will work to break the cycle of homelessness for their household in the future. Education in budgeting, finances, and credit can assist a family or individual in making the changes necessary to successfully maintain permanent housing.

The BOS CoC's Coordinated Entry process uses diversion and prevention efforts to prevent individuals and families from becoming homeless. This effort includes a collaboration of state agencies, homeless network providers, both continuums of care in Idaho, and all others who treat, serve, or interact with the homeless on a regular basis.

CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)

Actions taken to address the needs of public housing

Households who participate in the federal Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher program are eligible to be part of Idaho Housing's Family Self-Sufficiency (FSS) Program, a voluntary program that helps families become economically independent. The goals include assisting families to become free of all forms of state and federal welfare through employment. Participants may receive an interest-bearing escrow (savings) account that accrues as their household's portion of the rent increases because of an increase in earned-income. This tax-free account is awarded to the family when they complete their FSS Contract of Participation and become free of welfare assistance.

Actions taken to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership

IHFA will hold regional PHA Plan hearings and perform outreach in each area that has an IHFA branch office that administers Section 8 vouchers to encourage participation in a Resident Advisory Board.

Actions taken to provide assistance to troubled PHAs

No Public housing Programs within IHFA's jurisdiction are designated "troubled".

CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)

Actions taken to remove or ameliorate the negative effects of public policies that serve as barriers to affordable housing such as land use controls, tax policies affecting land, zoning ordinances, building codes, fees and charges, growth limitations, and policies affecting the return on residential investment. 91.220 (j); 91.320 (i)

See Unique Appendices.

Actions taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

See Unique Appendices.

Actions taken to reduce lead-based paint hazards. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

IHFA: Continues to support the education of Idaho's citizens and communities regarding the hazards of lead-based paint. The State of Idaho has not adopted a state lead-based paint hazard reduction program. Because of this, all contractors, developers, real estate agents, and lenders involved in pre-78 residential housing must adhere to the EPA- RRP rule and any other federal oversight. Lead-based paint hazard reduction trainers, renovators, risk assessors, and paint testers, must be certified by EPA and follow the RRP Rule. When HUD funds are used to rehabilitate pre-78 housing, the owners must ensure the RRP is followed as well as HUD's Lead Safe Housing Rule. In many instances, HUD's rule is more stringent than EPA. As the administrator of HOME and HTF funds, which can be used to rehabilitate pre-78 housing, IHFA helps to insure the appropriate level of lead hazard identification and reduction activities are undertaken and program rules are followed.

Action: Whenever an activity includes rehabilitation of pre-78 residential housing, the owner and developer, and general contractor must provide evidence to IHFA they are an EPA-Certified Renovation Firm with an adequate supply EPA-certified renovation contractors in the area in which the project is located, to complete the work.

Actions taken to reduce the number of poverty-level families. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

CDBG See Unique Appendices.

Actions taken to develop institutional structure. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

CDBG See Unique Appendices.

Actions taken to enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

CDBG See Unique Appendices.

Identify actions taken to overcome the effects of any impediments identified in the jurisdictions analysis of impediments to fair housing choice. 91.520(a)

CDBG See Unique Appendices.

CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230

Describe the standards and procedures used to monitor activities carried out in furtherance of the plan and used to ensure long-term compliance with requirements of the programs involved, including minority business outreach and the comprehensive planning requirements

Citizen Participation Plan 91.105(d); 91.115(d)

Describe the efforts to provide citizens with reasonable notice and an opportunity to comment on performance reports.

ESG - The ESG program reports are included with the HOME program public comment postings on the IHFA website. In addition, the ESG program follows the approved Citizen Participation Plan listed on the IHFA website. As noted: Participation is further encouraged through regular regional housing and homelessness stakeholder meetings, monthly Idaho Balance of State Continuum of Care committee meetings, quarterly Continuum of Care open board meetings, and annual regional Housing Roundtable meetings.

See Unique Appendices.

CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c)

Specify the nature of, and reasons for, any changes in the jurisdiction's program objectives and indications of how the jurisdiction would change its programs as a result of its experiences.

IDC does not propose any change to its CDBG program objectives from the 2022 annual action plan. IDC will continue to refine the means and/or methods to achieve the goals to best meet the needs of Idaho. Changes are reflected in the Annual Action Plan or Consolidated Plan, and if needed, by an amendment.

Does this Jurisdiction have any open Brownfields Economic Development Initiative (BEDI) grants?

No

[BEDI grantees] Describe accomplishments and program outcomes during the last year.

CR-50 - HOME 24 CFR 91.520(d)

Include the results of on-site inspections of affordable rental housing assisted under the program to determine compliance with housing codes and other applicable regulations

Please list those projects that should have been inspected on-site this program year based upon the schedule in 24 CFR §92.504(d). Indicate which of these were inspected and a summary of issues that were detected during the inspection. For those that were not inspected, please indicate the reason and how you will remedy the situation.

See Attachment - 2022 HOME + HTF Monitoring and Risk Assessment.

Provide an assessment of the jurisdiction's affirmative marketing actions for HOME units. 24 CFR 91.520(e) and 24 CFR 92.351(a)

During the period of affordability, HOME & HTF-assisted activities with five or more assisted units are required to follow their Affirmative Marketing Plan (AMP), based on requirements at 24 CFR 200.625. Vacant HOME and HTF units are required to be marketed to persons in the market area who are least likely to apply without special outreach without regard to race, age, color, national origin, sex, religion, familial status, sexual orientation, gender identity or disability. Owners update their Affirmative Marketing Plan every 5 years. A review of the affirmative marketing outreach as described in the activity's Affirmative Marketing Plan is a component of compliance monitoring.

PY 2022 Assessment: Six (6) HOME units were completed during the program year. Of this, the 1 rental HOME unit was initially occupied by white households who also did not identify as Hispanic. (PR-23 Summary of Accomplishments). In 2022, the percentage of Hispanic households in Idaho is 13.3%.

Refer to IDIS reports to describe the amount and use of program income for projects, including the number of projects and owner and tenant characteristics

HOME & HTF- The 2022 Program year began with \$11,424,192 of HOME program income. Based on the IDIS PR-23, Summary of Accomplishments, the HOME program expended \$1,598,352.15 during the 2022 program year on affordable rental and homebuyer housing development activities. IHFA's HTF program expended \$581,731.24 in PY2022 on affordable housing development for extremely low-income rental housing.

HOME Program Income was disbursed to five (5) multifamily rental activities during PY2022. The five (5) multifamily rental activities that received program income are located in Sandpoint, Burley, Hailey and Caldwell. The 5 multifamily rental projects are funded in partnership with LIHTC and are estimated to create 33 new constructed HOME units, 8 HTF units along with LIHTC units. Because of the LIHTC requirements the HOME units will serve households who have an annual income of ≤60% AMI. Because the projects have more than 5 HOME units, the owners will be required to follow their Affirmative

Marketing Plan and provide special outreach to those who would be least likely to apply.

Describe other actions taken to foster and maintain affordable housing. 24 CFR 91.220(k) (STATES ONLY: Including the coordination of LIHTC with the development of affordable housing). 24 CFR 91.320(j)

See Unique Appendices.

CR-56 - HTF 91.520(h)

Describe the extent to which the grantee complied with its approved HTF allocation plan and the requirements of 24 CFR part 93.

During the 2022 program year, IHFA's HTF program continued to follow the approved HTF allocation plan and requirements of 24 CFR 93. During PY2022 several rental activities were underway while no activities were completed during the 2022 program year.

Tenure Type	0 – 30%	0% of 30+ to	% of the	Total	Units	Total
	AMI	poverty line	higher of	Occupied	Completed,	Completed
		(when	30+ AMI or	Units	Not	Units
		poverty line	poverty line		Occupied	
		is higher	to 50% AMI			
		than 30%				
		AMI)				
Rental	0	0	0	0	0	0
Homebuyer	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 15 - CR-56 HTF Units in HTF activities completed during the period

CR-58 - Section 3

Identify the number of individuals assisted and the types of assistance provided

Total Labor Hours	CDBG	HOME	ESG	HOPWA	HTF
Total Number of Activities	7	1	0	0	0
Total Labor Hours	2,239	679			
Total Section 3 Worker Hours	0	0			
Total Targeted Section 3 Worker Hours	0	0			

Table 14 – Total Labor Hours

Qualitative Efforts - Number of Activities by Program	CDBG	HOME	ESG	HOPWA	HTF
Outreach efforts to generate job applicants who are Public Housing					
Targeted Workers					
Outreach efforts to generate job applicants who are Other Funding					
Targeted Workers.					
Direct, on-the job training (including apprenticeships).					
Indirect training such as arranging for, contracting for, or paying tuition for, off-site training.					
Technical assistance to help Section 3 workers compete for jobs (e.g., resume assistance, coaching).					
Outreach efforts to identify and secure bids from Section 3 business concerns.		1			
Technical assistance to help Section 3 business concerns understand and bid on contracts.					
Division of contracts into smaller jobs to facilitate participation by Section 3 business concerns.					
Provided or connected residents with assistance in seeking employment					
including: drafting resumes, preparing for interviews, finding job					
opportunities, connecting residents to job placement services.					
Held one or more job fairs.					
Provided or connected residents with supportive services that can provide direct services or referrals.					
Provided or connected residents with supportive services that provide one or more of the following: work readiness health screenings, interview clothing, uniforms, test fees, transportation.					
Assisted residents with finding child care.					
Assisted residents to apply for, or attend community college or a four year educational institution.					
Assisted residents to apply for, or attend vocational/technical training.					
Assisted residents to obtain financial literacy training and/or coaching.					
Bonding assistance, guaranties, or other efforts to support viable bids from Section 3 business concerns.					
Provided or connected residents with training on computer use or online technologies.					
Promoting the use of a business registry designed to create opportunities for disadvantaged and small businesses.					
Outreach, engagement, or referrals with the state one-stop system, as designed in Section 121(e)(2) of the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act.					

Other	2		
Guior.	_		

Table 15 - Qualitative Efforts - Number of Activities by Program

Narrative

HOME had one (1) projects complete in PY2022 subject to 24 CFR Part 75. No HTF projects completed in PY2022.

CR-60 - ESG 91.520(g) (ESG Recipients only)

ESG Supplement to the CAPER in *e-snaps*

For Paperwork Reduction Act

1. Recipient Information—All Recipients Complete

Basic Grant Information

Recipient Name IDAHO
Organizational DUNS Number 070022439
UEI TVJKJRL3RSK9
EIN/TIN Number 820302333
Indentify the Field Office PORTLAND

Identify CoC(s) in which the recipient or

subrecipient(s) will provide ESG

assistance

Boise/Ada County CoC

ESG Contact Name

Prefix Mr First Name Brady

Middle Name

Last Name Ellis

Suffix

Title Vice-President Housing Support Programs

ESG Contact Address

Street Address 1 565 W. Myrtle

Street Address 2

City Boise
State ID
ZIP Code -

Phone Number 2083314839

Extension Fax Number

Email Address

BradyE@ihfa.org

ESG Secondary Contact

Prefix

First Name

Last Name

Suffix

Title

Phone Number

Extension

Email Address

2. Reporting Period—All Recipients Complete

Program Year Start Date04/01/2022Program Year End Date03/31/2023

3a. Subrecipient Form – Complete one form for each subrecipient

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: ST. VINCENT DE PAUL

City: Coeur D Alene

State: ID

Zip Code: 83814, 3240 **DUNS Number:** 803834811

UEI: REG3L5LLCGB5

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 114571

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: AID FOR FRIENDS

City: Pocatello

State: ID

Zip Code: 83201, 5723

DUNS Number: UEI: K4UAB64JM3C3

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 71240

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: SOUTH CENTRAL COMMUNITY ACTION PARTNERSHIP

City: Twin Falls

State: ID

Zip Code: 83301, 5527 **DUNS Number:** 070023569

UEI: TVEYWJUKMKR9

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 35000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: ADVOCATES AGAINST FAMILY VIOLENCE

City: Caldwell State: ID

Zip Code: 83606, 1496

DUNS Number:

UEI: L477VW6G2MN3

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 70000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: THE JESSE TREE OF IDAHO, INC.

City: Boise State: ID

Zip Code: 83702, 6920 **DUNS Number:** 104204842

UEI:

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 139650

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: SouthEastern Idaho Community Action Agency

City: Pocatello

State: ID

Zip Code: 83201, 5787 **DUNS Number:** 964890136

UEI: ET2BAK2AQMK4

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 25000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Sojourners' Alliance

City: Moscow State: ID

Zip Code: 83843, 2771 **DUNS Number:** 801830217

UEI: FJT2JQBS8HC9

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 12600

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: IDAHO HOUSING AND FINANCE ASSOCIATION

City: Boise State: ID

Zip Code: 83702, 7675 **DUNS Number:** 070022439

UEI: TVJKJRL3RSK9

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 93762

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: CATCH, INC.

City: Boise State: ID

Zip Code: 83702, 6730 **DUNS Number:** 013858893 **UEI:** EU98BC5DU8M9

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 125000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: ONEIDA CRISIS CENTER, INC

City: Malad State: ID

Zip Code: 83252, 0174 **DUNS Number:** 614845068 **UEI:** GCW2SMDFXAX1

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 21500

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: BANNOCK YOUTH FOUNDATION

City: Pocatello State: ID

Zip Code: 83204, 3053 **DUNS Number:** 795715754 **UEI:** KFC9JMBM8QV3

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 21000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Lemhi County Crisis Intervention

City: Salmon State: ID

Zip Code: 83467, 4318 **DUNS Number:** 006208693 **UEI:** M6A6RGKNNXJ8

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 40000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Family Promise of the Palouse

City: Moscow State: ID

Zip Code: 83843, 8901 **DUNS Number:** 078804880

UEI: ZUR8S8Q8PXG7

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 32000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Family Services Alliance

City: Pocatello

State: ID

Zip Code: 83204, 3306 **DUNS Number:** 156384963

UEI: H6SMQNE4E6B7

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 28000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Family Promise of North Idaho

City: Coeur D Alene

State: ID

Zip Code: 83816, 2529 **DUNS Number:** 625723916

UEI: F77BDN6DKFN6

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 20000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Safe Passage

City: Coeur D Alene

State: ID

Zip Code: 83814, 3059 **DUNS Number:** 165918210 **UEI:** DJCRSWPWFQA1

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 32000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Jesse Tree

City: Boise State: ID

Zip Code: 83702, 6920 **DUNS Number:** 104204842

UEI: KDUSCEXVXFF1

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 7350

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Salvation Army Nampa

City: Nampa State: ID

Zip Code: 83651, 4247 **DUNS Number:** 181980988

UEI: ZTRLXMU4N133

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 70000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Volunteers Against Violence

City: Twin Falls

State: ID

Zip Code: 83301, 6053 **DUNS Number:** 794424184

UEI: MFC3VG4MXR47

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 48000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Salvation Army Lewiston

City: Lewiston State: ID

Zip Code: 83501, 7046 **DUNS Number:** 074629460

UEI: ZTRLXMU4N133

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 15400

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: LC Valley Youth Resource Center

City: Lewiston State: ID

Zip Code: 83501, 3143 **DUNS Number:** 117410406

UEI: T2LAJRWLVK98

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 20000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Salvation Army - Pocatello

City: Pocatello

State: ID

Zip Code: 83201, 6311 **DUNS Number:** 074629460

UEI: ZTRLXMU4N133

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 20000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Salvation Army - Caldwell

City: Caldwell State: ID

Zip Code: 83605, 3406 **DUNS Number:** 154027793

UEI: ZTRLXMU4N133

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 20000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: YWCA of Lewiston, ID-Clarkston, WA

City: Lewiston
State: ID

Zip Code: 83501, 1820

DUNS Number:

UEI: PMM8HJMDV5B5

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 21000

CR-65 - Persons Assisted

4. Persons Served

4a. Complete for Homelessness Prevention Activities

Number of Persons in	Total
Households	
Adults	
Children	
Don't Know/Refused/Other	
Missing Information	
Total	

Table 16 – Household Information for Homeless Prevention Activities

4b. Complete for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

Number of Persons in	Total
Households	
Adults	
Children	
Don't Know/Refused/Other	
Missing Information	
Total	

Table 17 – Household Information for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

4c. Complete for Shelter

Number of Persons in	Total
Households	
Adults	
Children	
Don't Know/Refused/Other	
Missing Information	
Total	

Table 18 – Shelter Information

4d. Street Outreach

Number of Persons in	Total
Households	
Adults	
Children	
Don't Know/Refused/Other	
Missing Information	
Total	

Table 19 – Household Information for Street Outreach

4e. Totals for all Persons Served with ESG

Number of Persons in	Total
Households	
Adults	
Children	
Don't Know/Refused/Other	
Missing Information	
Total	

Table 20 – Household Information for Persons Served with ESG

5. Gender—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Male	
Female	
Transgender	
Don't Know/Refused/Other	
Missing Information	
Total	

Table 21 – Gender Information

6. Age—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Under 18	
18-24	
25 and over	
Don't Know/Refused/Other	
Missing Information	
Total	

Table 22 – Age Information

7. Special Populations Served—Complete for All Activities

Number of Persons in Households

Subpopulation	Total	Total Persons Served – Prevention	Total Persons Served – RRH	Total Persons Served in Emergency Shelters
Veterans				
Victims of				
Domestic				
Violence				
Elderly				
HIV/AIDS				
Chronically				
Homeless				
Persons with Disabili	ities:			
Severely				
Mentally III				
Chronic				
Substance				
Abuse				
Other				
Disability				
Total				
(unduplicated				
if possible)				

Table 23 – Special Population Served

CR-70 – ESG 91.520(g) - Assistance Provided and Outcomes

10. Shelter Utilization

Number of New Units - Rehabbed	0
Number of New Units - Conversion	0
Total Number of bed-nights available	116,974
Total Number of bed-nights provided	73,017
Capacity Utilization	62.42%

Table 24 – Shelter Capacity

11. Project Outcomes Data measured under the performance standards developed in consultation with the CoC(s)

CR-75 – Expenditures

11. Expenditures

11a. ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2020	2021	2022
Expenditures for Rental Assistance			
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance			
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services			
Expenditures for Homeless Prevention under Emergency Shelter Grants Program			
Subtotal Homelessness Prevention			

Table 25 – ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

11b. ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2020	2021	2022
Expenditures for Rental Assistance			
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and			
Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance			
Expenditures for Housing Relocation &			
Stabilization Services - Services			
Expenditures for Homeless Assistance under			
Emergency Shelter Grants Program			
Subtotal Rapid Re-Housing			

Table 26 – ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

11c. ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

	Dollar Amoun	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2020	2021	2022	
Essential Services				
Operations				
Renovation				
Major Rehab				
Conversion				
Subtotal				

Table 27 – ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

11d. Other Grant Expenditures

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2020	2021	2022
Street Outreach			
HMIS			
Administration			

Table 28 - Other Grant Expenditures

11e. Total ESG Grant Funds

Total ESG Funds Expended	2020	2021	2022

Table 29 - Total ESG Funds Expended

11f. Match Source

	2020	2021	2022
Other Non-ESG HUD Funds			
Other Federal Funds			
State Government			
Local Government			
Private Funds			
Other			
Fees			
Program Income			
Total Match Amount			

Table 30 - Other Funds Expended on Eligible ESG Activities

11g. Total

Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG	2020	2021	2022
Activities			

Table 31 - Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities